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ABSTRACT

The introduction of low cost and versatile CAD and CAE tools enable fast product development with simulation tools integrated in Computer Aided Design. The paper shows a case study with an example of simple product, how customer, foundry near consultant, and foundry together can simplify product development. The customer in future can be a brand owner, designing only product system, and foundry and consultant can serve the customer with detail designing beginning from part surface specification model. Specialisation is increased, and customer can concentrate on product competence instead of manufacturing knowledge.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Current existing practice in cast part acquisition is based on a process, where the foundry customer sends an inquiry, where the part is comprehensively detailed in geometry, material and surface treatments specification. In this practice, the foundry supply chain’s possibility to support the design process with cast part design know-how is substantially reduced. The foundry’s potential to provide added value for the customer by it’s competence is diminished, and the business relation is reduced to a manufacturing capacity sales competition instead of close co-operation with the customer including genuine value add by cast supply competence [1].

In the past years, Newly Industrialised Countries (NIC) and emerging economies like India and China are pushing their production capacity to the global market. While the cost of materials is increasing and as low transport costs can be obtained anywhere on the globe with low cost variation, the labor costs of mentioned type countries give large competitive advantage for them. Like any other industries, the foundry industry in Europe is threatened by the labor cost differences, even if these highly developed countries have more skilled resources, machines and IT-technology, historically developed foundry industry and infrastructure. However, also the increasing mean age of foundry staff and large number of expected retierings in coming years present new difficulties. [1]

The objective of this paper is to present a cast part design and modelling strategy, which is founded on the principle, that customer needs not a physical cast part as such, but only a set of mechanical functional surfaces, ability to transmit forces and elasticity between them, and certain qualities of dimensions, tolerances, aesthetics and treatments on these surfaces [2].

Thus, there is a need to re-define the traditional customer inquiry model to obtain a design process, where the design features of cast parts, which are independent from customer requirements, can be defined later in the design process, and thus is not necessary by the customer, but by foundry. This approach enables the part to be optimized by requirements of tolerances, material, weight, manufacturing methodology and price. Also, the re-definition of the customer inquiry model enables
to re-organise customer foundry design process: With a neutral format inquiry specification model the foundry can concentrate on further development of the cast part with it’s own native cast design analysis software, which in this case is demonstrated with Solid Works.

1.2 Objectives

The design process on single part level is based on the principle, that part design begins from the specification of functional surfaces, the function carriers. The functionally specified boundaries contact with other parts. In the specifying geometry, the size, distances and tolerances are determined as also the surface roughness and surface treatments. Thus, the customer of a part manufacturer, i.e. foundry, should not specify more than what is required for the functional surfaces. More over, the functional surfaces must be able to transmit the loads between the load carrying surfaces. The customer’s needs for functional surfaces are determined and fixed, while the load carrying features are not. The dimensioning for the load carrying features can be optimized later. Thus, the specification is possible to be created with any CAD-system capable to output neutral file format.

The part manufacturer in the foundry can then continue from the customer - foundry standard interface with their own native file format and design the part topology and dimensions with respect to optimization criteria concerning weight, stiffness, strength, material, and in-house manufacturing resources. The full capacity of the foundry’s design competence can be utilized, and the foundry can be integrated to the customers manufacturing process as an independent partner with design responsibility.

2 STATE OF THE ART

To maintain their position at the front edge of the foundry branch, European companies have obtained many tools to serve their customers with high quality castings. The key issue, which foundries have to face is, that a cast is not a product. To maintain the competitive edge, foundries must integrate their processes with the customer product development process, rather than letting the customer to do the design and preliminary production planning for the product.

The foundries must therefore change their focus from their physical cast products to service concepts, including design support from the beginning of the life cycle to end of it. This paper focuses on the design phase of the cast. The previous paper concentrate on the design service interface from the beginning of the design to cast order specification. Coming papers will continue from this on. It is also evident, that the earlier the manufacturing specialists can consult the designer, the easier manufacturing process can still be reconsidered and thus the manufacturing cost, efficiency and other downstream decisions can be left for manufacturing specialists to reduce cost and supply time.

The research on computer support tools in 3industry has been based on many different kinds of approaches. This literary study was performed based on search from El Compendex Web database with recent CAD/CAM/CAE publications on cast CAE:

- Knowledge based expert systems for manufacturing have been presented by Er & Dias, where the casting alloy, geometric complexity, casting accuracy production quantity and comparative costs can be considered [4],
- Recognition of form features for casting is based either on interactive feature definition, where the features are defined from the geometric description of the designer, or the model is build by a set of specific form features selected from a pre-created library. The manufacturing aspects are created from a set of transformations compatible to the selected manufacturing process. In feature extraction method, the features are extracted from a solid model to create the model of the object. The model is then submitted to a process that attempts to recognise the shape features of the object relevant for the technical detailing. [5], [6]. A knowledge based system has been developed identifying nine types of die design components, boss, plate, wall hole, ribs and other features [7].
• Database approaches for selection of materials and manufacturing process [8].
• Assistance tools for cast planning, like feeder and runner systems designing, based on material databases, optimisation and genetic algorithms. Hu et al. have studied magnesium telecommunication parts’ manufacturing with two types of gating system and analysed the swirling flow points and last filled areas and compared them to numerical analysis. [9],[10], [11]
• Studies and tools for separating objects from tools and definition planning tools for division planes of casts. Ahn et al. have studied the geometrical problem separating a cast to two opposite directions without the divided geometry to colliding each other or a other cast part. [12]
• CAD/CAE systems for castings. Zhang and Xiong have developed a CAD system for designing the runner and gating systems according the characteristics of die-casting machine, the casting geometry and the properties of the alloy. The CAD system makes the basic dimensioning of the casting and die based on basic calculations. The CAE system is capable to analyse the thermal and flow field. [13]
• Determination of castability by geometry. An approach similar to Ahn et al., Bose et. al. have studied and developed a simple algorithm in the time of problem complexity of $n^2/logn$. Also a more complicated algorithm has been developed. [14]
• CAD integrated RP and LOM-method. Alain Bernard et al. have carried out a study based on tool manufacturing with rapid prototyping process. The created system allowed to study the usability of the tool and allowed to diminish the wall thickness to 4 mm. [15]
• Cast filling and solidification simulation tools. Zhang et al. have developed a finite element method for analysing with volume of fluid method for 3D-castings with thin-walled cavities. [16]
• Dimensionless approaches for cast life cycle analysis. [17]
• Yue et. al. have developed a database approach for die casting expert system to be used together with Pro/Engineer CAD software and MAGMASOFT simulation software. [18]

3. METHODOLOGY

The customer – foundry service interface technology is described in a earlier paper [NordDesign 2006]. This paper describes the modeling process chain. The simulation process begins with a functional surface model (A) at customer. This model defines the functional surfaces, free design spaces and dimensional features and tolerances of the design, and the boundary conditions and load data. This model leads to parametrised model (B), which is parametrised by surface thicknesses and geometry dimensions. This model is parametrised by structural engineer optimizing the weight of the part. The parametrisation is later reused by cast engineer, who optimizes the castability. The draft model is utilized for structural, thermal and mechanical properties, Simulation model (C), and it will end to customer, who checks the usability and finalization of the part giving the designer final model (D), which describes how the model should be after manufacturing.

The castability is modified by geometry to fulfill the cast requirements like shrinkage, fillets, filling holes, drafts etc., creating the cast model (E). The cast model is analysed by first identifying the filling points with a filling model (F). This simple model is obtained by simulating alternative points to achieve filling of the mould, with Solid Works mould filling tools. The feeding system is model having the feeding points as start points. When exterior cavities are not enough sufficient, a core model is also required (G). This model has also necessary features for fixing it precisely and firmly in relation to the mould.

The pattern model (H) is formed from the negative filling model (F) and core (G). A similar way are derived the pattern plates and core boxes needed form the core and pattern. The
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**Fig. 1. CAE-tool driven product development of cast parts. Dotted lines show feedback iteration.**

**Fig. 2. New way to handle the foundry process with modern time’s methods.**
4.1 Strength and displacement optimization of minimum volume

While foundries are well aware on casting process, access and background to do product development with latest CAD is not always possible in foundries. Currently almost 2/3 of mechanical design is realized with some 3D-CAD system, but only some foundries are ready to improve the data. If feature based 3D-CAD resources are not available at foundry, then consultants must be utilised. In the first phase in casting, only the functional shapes, dimensions, tolerances, loads and contact surfaces need to be known. The customer sends the 3D-model for the foundry or drawings (fig.3). Fixed dimensions, tolerances and features are made.

The initial geometry is translated to foundry’s or consultants native parametric and feature based 3D-CAD program having integration to FEM model. The analysis is begun first with coarse FEM analysis to find the most significant design errors weakening the strength, first with static analysis. The material and mesh properties are defined by cast part designer, while restraints and loads are defined earlier in surface modeling by customer. Linear Static analysis computes displacements, strains, stresses, and reaction forces under the effect of applied loads. There are limitations with linearity assumption. Linearity assumption can be made if:

- all materials in the model comply with Hooke’s law, that is stress is directly proportional to strain.
- the induced displacements are small enough to ignore the change in stiffness caused by loading.
- boundary conditions do not vary during the application of loads. Loads must be constant in magnitude, direction, and distribution. They should not change while the model is deforming.

FEM enables calculation of FOS (Factor of Safety) and Frequency Analysis (Fig 4). When a structure is properly excited by a dynamic load with a frequency that coincides with one of its natural frequencies, the structure undergoes large displacements and stresses. This phenomenon is known as resonance. For undamped systems, resonance theoretically causes infinite amplitude. Damping, however, puts a limit on the response of the structures due to resonant loads. If a design will be subjected to dynamic loads with known frequencies, resonance can be restricted by adjustment of damping.
The von Mises stress in Fig. 4 is far by yield strength (640 MPa). Also average of FOS is quite large. The areas where FOS is below 10, are very small. The maximum displacements are about 0.15 mm. While real part has an infinite number of natural frequencies, a finite element model has a finite number of natural frequencies equal to the number of degrees of freedom considered in the model. Only the first few modes are needed for most purposes.

The natural frequencies and corresponding mode shapes depend on the geometry, material properties, and support conditions. The computation of natural frequencies and mode shapes is referenced as frequency and normal mode analysis. In this case the first un-damped frequency is ~211 Hz and the next shape is ~1243 Hz.

The conclusion is, that considerable material reduction from the part is possible resulting to lighter design and less cost. Thus a design optimisation run is arguable.

Design optimization automates the search for the optimum solution. In optimisation objective function, design variables, and the conditions that the design must satisfy (behaviour constraints) must be declared.

For example, variations of dimensions are wanted to minimize the material in the model, while maintaining a safe level of stresses. The objective is thus to reduce the volume of the material. The varying dimensions are the design variables, and the condition that the stress level cannot exceed a certain limit is the behaviour constraint. Usually there is no need for optimisation of the whole model with several dimensions, but just few. Also in optimisation there is need for significant simplification of the model, and if it is possible, exploitation of symmetry, resulting to less calculations and faster iteration cycles.

The analysis exploits the parametric, feature-based modeling, and the automatic regeneration capabilities of the CAD software to automate the optimization process. It quickly detects the change of gradient to minimize the number of design cycles leading to the optimum design.

In Fig 5, simplification of the model and exploitation of symmetry is shown. Connection corners can’t be redesigned and are thus suppressed. Only the width of the bars (30mm, 20mm and 15mm) are optimized, but not the material thickness.
Fig 5. The optimization model and the dimension which can change (black).

For the optimization run, i.e. dimensional synthesis von Mises stress and displacements for objective function analysis are maintained (Fig 6). Natural frequencies are not analysed since volume, geometry, mass, etc. change considerable from the original during optimisation. It is not recommended to use more than one study of the same type in an optimisation problem.

Fig 6. von Mises stress and displacement of the simplified model.

After static results the part optimisation is begun. Usually the mesh generation from previous study is reused. In this example in this case we the geometry volume of the body is minimized having von Mises stress and displacement as boundary condition. The optimization space is the critical dimensions. The boundary conditions are given in table 1.

Table 1. Optimisation space boundaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper bar</td>
<td>10-25</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bar</td>
<td>10-25</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bar</td>
<td>20-50</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress von Mises</td>
<td>0-300</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max displacement</td>
<td>0-0.2</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In optimization, the task is to minimize goal function $g(x)$ with subject of constraints

$$
h_i(x) = 0, i = 1..n
$$

$$
k_j(x) \geq 0, j = n,m \geq n
$$

(1)

Here, the goal function is formed from geometrical dimensions as parameters for $g(x)$, and $k(x)$ forms the behaviour constraints.

The FEM calculation after 15th iteration results to optimized dimensions showed fig 7.

Fig 7. The optimized structure and von Mises stress.

After the design optimization the original model with connection corners, fillets, holes, is updated with new dimensions. This is performed h-adaptive method and solver, which is more accurate. The h-method refines the mesh and does not change the element order. In addition to having better accuracy by using more elements in critical regions, the refined mesh represents the geometry in critical regions more closely in each loop (Fig 8.).

Fig 8. H-adaptive mesh after three iteration rounds and the von Mises stress.

In the case there are few points where the stress is over the Yield strength of material. These locations are very small and in additional iterations they would disappear. Although structurally fittest form according these limitations values is found, it might not be the best solution. Many times the engineers and industrial designers have different visions how should the part look like.
4.2 Mold optimisation

When the fittest or compromised form has been founded, mold analysis is started. Mold analysis is first done with one part only, then with whole palette with gating systems. Many 3D-CAD systems have integration to some mold flow analysis system. Usually analysis begins with draft analysis and very often 1 deg. is enough (fig 9). The draft value is very important e.g. in die casting.

![Fig 9. Draft analysis to the best form.](image)

In fig 9. is shown numerous faces which require drafting (yellow colour). Draft is increased in few drafts of the model and then calculated again (Fig 10.).

![Fig 10. New draft analysis with new different drafts.](image)
The next step is to find the best place to inject or if multiple injection points are needed. The best place for injection point shows to be in perpendicular corner (Fig. 11).

After identification of injection points of a part pallet system is designed (Fig12). Four different parts are to be casted at the same time. In the picture can see the pouring cup, gating system and the risers.

The pallet filling is first simulated with simple filling time simulation with Solid Works.
Finally, the cast filling is simulated with a cubic mesh based simulation software CastCAE, for analysis of filling Fig 14., cooling and porosity.

![Fig 14. Filling process and cooling during filling](image1)

![Fig. 15. Solidification and cooling](image2)

![Fig. 16. Porosity analysis.](image3)

5. CONCLUSIONS

A complete walk through of the developed method has been shown. Detailed approach utilizing geometry design, structural optimization, casting optimization and pattern modeling are shown, and the results are compared to traditional approach. The results are utilized in the development of new service concepts for foundries.
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